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#### Abstract

The crystal and molecular structure of chlorotris( $N, N$-dimethyldithiocarbamato)titanium(IV), $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}-\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$, has been determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction and has been refined (anisotropically on $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and S) by least-squares methods to $R_{1}=0.047$ and $R_{2}=0.063$. The compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P_{n} 2_{1} a$ (nonstandard setting of $P n a 2_{1}$ ) with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions $a=9.208$ (4), $b=13.829(5)$, and $c=14.803(6) \AA\left(\rho_{\text {obsd }}=1.59(2), \rho_{\text {calcd }}=1.561 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}\right)$. The intensities of 2382 independent reflections having $2 \theta \leq 54.86^{\circ}$ were measured on a Picker four-circle diffractometer using the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique and Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. A total of 1722 reflections having $\left|F_{0}\right| \geq 2 \sigma\left(\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|\right)$ was used in the determination and refinement of the structure. The crystal contains discrete seven-coordinate molecules which have a pentagonal bipyramidal structure of approximate symmetry $C_{s}-m$. The chlorine atom occupies one axial position ( $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}=$ 2.305 (3) A), dithiocarbamate ligand a spans the other axial position and one equatorial position, and dithiocarbamate ligands $b$ and $c$ take the remaining equatorial positions. The average $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}$ bond length is $2.512 \AA$. Extreme crowding in the equatorial plane is evidenced by notably short interligand $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ contacts ( $2.95-3.10 \AA$ ). The observed distortions from ideal pentagonal bipyramidal geometry are discussed with reference to the eight-coordinate, dodecahedral structure of $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}$.


$\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{p}}$part from the oxo-bridged dinuclear peroxodipicolinato complex $\left[\mathrm{TiO}_{0.5}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~N}\right)\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}-\right.\right.$ $\mathrm{O})]_{2}{ }^{2-},{ }^{2 a}$ coordination number seven for titanium(IV) has not yet been established by X-ray diffraction though it has been demonstrated for titanium(III) in $\mathrm{Ti}_{2^{-}}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}\right)_{3} \cdot 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}^{2 b}$ and has been suggested for a few titanium(IV) complexes ( Ti (tropolonate) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Cl},{ }^{3} \mathrm{Ti}$ (oxine) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}{ }^{4}$ and $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(\text { triarsine })^{5}$ ). X-Ray studies have established eight-coordination for titanium(IV) in Ti$\mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ (diarsine) $)_{2}{ }^{6} \mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{4},{ }^{7}$ and the $N, N$-diethyldithiocarbamate complex $\operatorname{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4} .{ }^{8}$ In general, however, coordination numbers greater than six for first-row transition elements are still quite rare. ${ }^{9}$

Recently, a series of $N, N$-dialkyldithiocarbamate complexes of the type $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CNR}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et}$, $i$ $\mathrm{Pr}, i-\mathrm{Bu} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or Br$)$ have been reported ${ }^{10-12}$ which appear to be seven-coordinate on the basis of molecular weight, conductance, and infrared data. A singlecrystal X-ray study of a representative complex of this

[^0]type, $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$, was carried out in order to confirm the coordination number and establish the geometry of the coordination polyhedron.

## Experimental Section

Tris(dimethyldithiocarbamato)titanium(IV) chloride was prepared by the method of Bhat, et al. ${ }^{11}$ Dark red crystals, suitable for X-ray diffraction work, were grown by cooling a saturated solution of the complex in dichloromethane-hexane ( $\sim 3: 1 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). Prismatic crystals were sealed, under nitrogen, in Lindemann glass capillaries.

Preliminary precession and Weissenberg photographs revealed Laue symmetry mmm . The observed systematic extinctions, $0 k l$ for $k+l \neq 2 n$ and $h k 0$ for $h \neq 2 n$, are compatible with space groups $P i m a$ (No. 62) and $P n 2_{1} a$ (nonstandard setting of $P n a 1_{1}$, No. 33). ${ }^{13}$ Using zirconium-filtered Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation ( $\lambda 0.71069$ A) 12 reflections were centered in the counter window of a Picker FACS-I diffractometer, and the setting angles were used to determine least-squares values of the unit cell parameters. The results are $a=9.208(4), b=13.829(5), c=14.803$ (6) $\AA$ at $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$. The density calculated on the basis of four molecules of $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ per unit cell is $1.561 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$, which is in good agreement with the observed value of $1.59 \pm 0.02 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ obtained by flotation in aqueous zinc chloride.

Intensity data were collected on a Picker FACS-I four-circle diffractometer employing a crystal mounted in a Lindemann glass capillary such that the $b$ axis was parallel to $\phi$. The crystal was a parallelepiped of approximate dimensions $0.20 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm parallel to the $a, b$, and $c$ axes, respectively. Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation was used for data collection, and the diffracted beam was filtered through zirconium foil. A takeoff angle of $\sim 2^{\circ}$ was employed. Intensities were gathered at room temperature ( $20 \pm 2^{\circ}$ ) using the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique. The scan angle used was $1.50^{\circ}$ plus an allowance for the dispersion of Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha_{1}$ and Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha_{2}$ radiation. ${ }^{14}$

Background counts, each of $20-\mathrm{sec}$ duration, were taken at both ends of the scan. Reflections having counting rates greater than $10,000 \mathrm{cps}$ were automatically attenuated by inserting copper foil into the path of the diffracted beam until the intensity was reduced to less than that value. The intensities of three standard reflections were monitored periodically at intervals of 100 reflections. No

[^1]Table I. Final Atomic Positional
Parameters for $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}{ }^{a}$

| Atom | $10^{4} x$ | $10^{4} y$ | $10^{4} z$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti | 1668 (2) | $2500^{\text {b }}$ | 310.4 (9) |
| Cl | 2652 (3) | 3898 (2) | 899 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {a }}$ | 819 (3) | 1188 (2) | -699 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s} 2}$ | 2343 (3) | 2888 (2) | -1340(1) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{bl}}$ | 2282 (2) | 1283 (2) | 1495 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 4222 (2) | 1854 (2) | 106 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Sc}_{\text {cl }}$ | -433 (2) | 2406 (2) | 1347 (1) |
| $\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | -430 (2) | 3466 (2) | -298(1) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{3}$ | 1355 (9) | 1531 (7) | -2457 (6) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 4979 (9) | 579 (6) | 1389 (5) |
| $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | -2680 (8) | 3522 (6) | 843 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Ca}_{\text {a }}$ | 1491 (10) | 1820 (7) | -1601 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 3989 (9) | 1155 (7) | 1058 (6) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | -1376 (9) | 3202 (6) | 656 (6) |
| $\mathrm{Cail}_{1}$ | 541 (15) | 627 (11) | -2673 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ | 1991 (14) | 2068 (10) | -3203 (9) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{bl}}$ | 4658 (12) | -74 (8) | 2144 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 6460 (12) | 519 (8) | 1009 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | -3418 (11) | 3291 (8) | 1717 (7) |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | -3443 (11) | 4172 (8) | 228 (6) |

${ }^{a}$ Standard deviations in parentheses apply to the last significant figure. ${ }^{b} y$ coordinate is arbitrary.
group $P n{ }_{2} a$ was made from an examination of the Patterson map and was substantiated by the success of the subsequent refinement. Full-matrix least-squares refinement of the coordinates and isotropic thermal parameters of the 20 atoms was followed by anisotropic full-matrix refinement of the heavy atoms ( $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{S}$ ) using all the observed data and empirical weights $w=1 / \sigma^{2}$ calculated from

$$
\sigma=\sum_{0}^{3} a_{n} \mid F_{\mathrm{o}_{i}}^{\mid n}
$$

the $a_{n}$ being coefficients from the least-squares fitting of the curve

$$
\left|\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c} \mid!}^{\mid}=\sum_{0}^{3} a_{n}\right| F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|^{n}
$$

Scattering factors for $\mathrm{Ti}^{0}, \mathrm{Cl}^{0}, \mathrm{~S}^{0}, \mathrm{~N}^{0}$, and $\mathrm{C}^{0}$ were taken from Cromer and Mann. ${ }^{16}$ Corrections for the effects of anomalous dispersion for $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Cl}$, and S were obtained from the International Tables. ${ }^{17}$

In the final cycle of refinement no parameter varied by more than 0.17 (the average was 0.05 ) of its estimated standard deviation, and the discrepancy indices

$$
R_{1}=\sum| | F_{\mathrm{o}}\left|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}!\right| \sum\right| F_{\mathrm{o}} \mid
$$

and

$$
R_{2}=\left[\sum w\left(\left|F_{0}\right|-\mid F_{\mathrm{c} \mid}^{\mid}\right)^{2} / \sum w\left|F_{0}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

Table II. Final Thermal Parameters for $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{\boldsymbol{a}}$

| Atom | $\beta_{11}{ }^{\text {b }}$, $c$ | $\beta_{22}-A$ | ropic parameters- |  | $B_{13}$ | $\beta_{23}$ | Equiv isotropic $B,{ }^{d} \AA^{2}$ | -_-Isotropic parameters-—— |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\dot{\beta}_{33}$ | $\beta_{12}$ |  |  |  | Atom | $B, \AA^{2}$ | Atom | $B, \AA^{2}$ |
| Ti | 74 (2) | 35 (1) | 22 (1) | -3 (1) | -2 (1) | 0 (1) | 2.37 (1) | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4.0 (2) | $\mathrm{Ca}_{\mathrm{al}}$ | 6.1 (3) |
| Cl | 114 (3) | 45 (1) | 41 (1) | -19 (2) | -2 (1) | -7 (1) | 3. 50 (3) | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{b}}$ | 3.2 (1) | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | 5.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{tt} 1}$ | 126 (3) | 38 (1) | 36 (1) | -12 (2) | -1(2) | -4 (1) | 3.33 (3) | $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 3.0 (1) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ | 4.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{u} 2}$ | 120 (3) | 48 (1) | 26 (1) | -14(2) | 7 (1) | 3 (1) | 3.20 (3) | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {a }}$ | 3.2 (2) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 4.0 (2) |
| $S_{61}$ | 84 (3) | 53 (1) | 32 (1) | 9 (2) | 7 (1) | 10 (1) | 3.10 (3) | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {b }}$ | 2.7 (1) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | 4.1 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 81 (2) | 47 (1) | 33 (1) | 0 (2) | 8 (1) | 0 (1) | 3.02 (3) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | 2.6 (1) | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 3.7 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl} 1}$ | 78 (2) | 54 (1) | 34 (1) | 7 (2) | 3 (1) | 14 (1) | 3.05 (3) |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 99 (3) | 51 (1) | 29 (1) | 9 (2) | 0 (1) | 9 (1) | 3.14 (3) |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure. ${ }^{b}$ Anisotropic temperature factors are of the form $\exp \left[-\left(\beta_{11} h^{2}+\beta_{22} k^{2}+\beta_{33} l^{2}+2 \beta_{12} h k+2 \beta_{13} h l+2 \beta_{23} k l\right)\right]$. $\quad$ Values of $\beta_{i j}$ are $\times 10^{4}$. ${ }^{d}$ Isotropic thermal parameter calculated from $B=4\left[V^{2} \operatorname{det}\left(\beta_{i j}\right)\right] . .^{1 / 3}$
significant fluctuations were observed, and for each reflection the deviation of the maximum and minimum observed intensity, expressed as a percentage of the mean, was $<2,68 \%$.

A total of 2382 reflections having $2 \theta \leq 54.86^{\circ}$ was collected. The data were corrected for background, use of attenuators, and Lorentz and polarization factors (Lp). The linear absorption coefficient for Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation is $12.35 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and it is calculated that the maximum error resulting from neglect of absorption corrections is $<5 \%$ in any intensity or $<3 \%$ in any amplitude for the crystal used. For this reason it was felt that absorption corrections would not significantly improve the reliability of the data.
Standard deviations were calculated from

$$
\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}^{!}\right)=\left(C_{\mathrm{t}}+k^{2} B\right) / 4_{\mid}^{\prime} F_{\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{l}}^{\prime}}^{2}(\mathrm{~L} \mathrm{p})^{2}
$$

wherein $C_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the count of the scan, $k$ is the ratio of scanning time to background time, and $B$ is the total background count. A reflection with $\left|F_{0}\right|<2 \sigma\left(\mid F_{0}\right)$ was considered unobserved, which left a total of 1722 observed reflections that were subsequently used in the determination and refinement of the structure.

Structure Determination and Refinement. The structure was solved in a straightforward manner by the application of Patterson and Fourier techniques. ${ }^{15}$ The choice of the noncentric space
(15) Calculations were performed on an IBM $360 / 65$ computer using the following programs: DlfFDATA, a local data reduction program; follrier, a local version of the Zalkin Fourier program; orfls, the Busing-Martin-Levy structure factor calculation and least-squares refinement program; WEIGHT, a local program to calculate the coefficients of a polynonial weighting scheme; ORFEE, the Busing-Martin-Levy molecular geometry and error function program; ORTEP, the Johnson thermal ellipsoid plotting program; and MEAN PLANES, a local best planes program.
were 0.047 and 0.063 , respectively. A final difference Fourier showed no anomalous features.

Since only one form of data ( $/ h k l$ ) had been collected, two cycles of refinement were run in which ( $h k l$ ) was replaced by ( $\overline{h k} \bar{k})$. This refinement converged at values of $R_{1}=0.048$ and $R_{2}=0.064$. Application of a significance test ${ }^{18}$ on the ratio of the $R_{2}$ factors indicates that the ( $h \mathrm{kl}$ ) structure is preferred at a confidence level greater than $99.5 \%$ assuming that systematic errors are absent.

## Results

Final atomic positional and thermal parameters for $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ are presented in Tables I and II, respectively. ${ }^{19}$ The molecular geometry and the atom numbering scheme are shown in Figure 1. Each atom is represented by an ellipsoid consistent with the thermal parameters in Table II and is labeled with a literal and a numerical subscript; the literal subscript identifies the particular $N, N$-dimethyldithiocarbamate ligand (a, b , or c ). The characteristic parameters of the coordination polyhedron are presented in Table III, and bond lengths and angles within the dithiocarbamate ligands are given in Table IV.
(16) D. T. Cromer and J. B. Mann, Acta. Crystallogr., Sect. A, 24, 321 (1968).
(17) C. H. MacGillavry and G. D. Rieck, Ed., "International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography," Vol. III, 2nd ed, The Kynoch Press, Birmingham, England, 1968, p 215.
(18) W.C. Hamilton, Acta Cry stallogr., 18, 502 (1965).
(19) See paragraph at end of paper regarding supplementary material.

Table III. Bond Distances, Polyhedral Edge Lengths, and Bond Angles in the Coordination Group ${ }^{a}$

| Atoms | Length, $\AA$ | Atoms | Angle, deg |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ti-Cl | 2.305 (3) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s} 1}$ | 165.03 (12) |
| Ti-S $\mathrm{S}_{\text {a } 1}$ | 2.477 (3) |  |  |
| Ti-S $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ | 2.577 (3) |  |  |
| Ti-S $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{bl}}$ | 2.496 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 2.533 (3) |  |  |
| Ti- $\mathrm{S}_{\text {cl }}$ | 2.473 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 2.516 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ | 3.608 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 95.12 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{bl}}$ | 3.738 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ti-} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{bl}}$ | 102.17 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\text {b2 }}$ | 3.385 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 88.65 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | 3.573 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | 96.72 (11) |
| $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 3.399 (4) | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 89.53 (10) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2} 2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.898 (4) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1} 1-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ | 69.92 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{bl}}$ | 3.519 (4) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{al} 1}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ | 90.08 (10) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 3.476 (4) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 87.84 (10) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\text {al }} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\text {cl }}$ | 3.653 (4) | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {al }}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | 95.10 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Sal}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 3.406 (4) | $\mathrm{Sa}_{\text {a }}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 86.02 (10) |
| $\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{a} 2} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 3.101 (4) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 74.72 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{s} 2} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 3.088 (4) | $\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{a} 2}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 74.63 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{b}_{2}{ }^{\text {b }}}$ | 2.836 (4) | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ | 68.65 (8) |
| $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{b}_{1}} \cdots \mathbf{S}_{\text {ct }}$ | 2.951 (4) | $\mathrm{Sbl}_{\mathrm{bl}}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ | 72.86 (9) |
| $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 1} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.844 (4) | $\mathrm{Sc}_{\mathrm{cl}}-\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ | 69.49 (9) |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure. ${ }^{b}$ The "bite" of the ligand.


Figure 1. Model in perspective of the $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CN}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{8} \mathrm{Cl}$ molecule.
of the type M (chelate) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{X}$ when X is a ligand which forms a relatively strong, covalent $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{X}$ bond. ${ }^{23} \mathrm{~A}$ closely similar structure has been found for chlorotris(acetylacetonato)zirconium(IV), but the $C_{s}-m$ symmetry of the $\mathrm{ZrO}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}$ coordination group does not extend to the entire molecule because the acetylacetonate ligands exhibit an unsymmetric wrapping pattern. ${ }^{23}$

Table IV. Bond Lengths ( $\AA$ ) and Bond Angles (deg) in the $N, N$-Dimethyldithiocarbamate Ligands ${ }^{a}$

| Bond | Ligand a | Ligand b | Ligand c | $\mathrm{Av}^{\text {b }}$ | Angle | Ligand a | Ligand b | Ligand c | $\mathrm{Av}^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{S}_{1} \cdots \mathrm{~S}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2.898 (4) | 2.836 (4) | 2.844 (4) | 2.840 (6) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 115.4 (5) | 111.5 (5) | 111.7 (5) | 111.6 (1) ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}^{-\mathrm{S}_{1}}$ | 1.712 (10) | 1.709 (9) | 1.736 (9) ${ }^{1.69}$ ( ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1.716 (1 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 89.0 (3) | 90.6 (3) | 89.5 (3) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}_{2}$ | 1.717 (10) | 1.722 (9) | 1.699 (9) ${ }^{\text {( }}$ | 1.716 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}_{2}-\mathrm{Ti}$ | 85.7 (3) | 89.1 (3) | 89.0 (3) |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.33 (1) | 1.31 (1) | 1.31 (1) | 1.32 (1) | $\mathrm{S}_{1}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ | $123.7(8)$ $120.9(8)$ | $124.2(7)$ 124.2 | $\left.\begin{array}{l}123.2(7) \\ 125.0 \\ \text { (7) }\end{array}\right\}$ | $124.2(7)^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{S}_{2}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ | $120.9(8)$ $120.1(10)$ | $124.2(7)$ 121.4 | 125.0 (7) 121.8 121.8 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1.45 (2) | 1.48 (1) | 1.46 (1) $\}$ | 1.48 (2) | $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}$ | 122.1 (9) | 122.4 (8) | 121.1 (8) | 121.6 (8) |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | 117.8 (10) | 116.2 (8) | 116.9 (7) | 117.0 (8) |

${ }^{a}$ Numbers in parentheses are estimated standard deviations in the last significant figure. ${ }^{b}$ The number in parentheses following each averaged value is the standard deviation in a single measurement (obtained from the spread of the values); the averaging assumes $C_{2}$ symmetry for each ligand. ${ }^{c}$ The bite of the ligand. ${ }^{d}$ Average for ligands $b$ and $c$.

## Discussion

Crystalline $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ contains discrete seven-coordinate molecules which have a somewhat distorted pentagonal bipyramidal structure (Figure 1). The chlorine atom is located at one axial position, dithiocarbamate ligand a spans the other axial position and one equatorial position, and dithiocarbamate ligands $b$ and $c$ take the remaining equatorial positions. The molecules (four per unit cell) occupy general positions of the space group $P n 2_{1} a$ and are not required to have any symmetry. However, the maximum permissible symmetry for this configuration, $C_{s}-m$, is closely approximated, with the metal atom, monodentate ligand, and bidentate ligand a lying in the mirror plane, and bidentate ligands $b$ and $c$ being symmetrically disposed about this plane. This same pentagonal bipyramidal structure is adopted by $\pi$-cyclopentadienyltris(hexafluoroacetylacetonato)zirconium(IV), ${ }^{20}$ chloroand hydroxotris(tropolonato)tin(IV), ${ }^{21}$ and nitrosyl$\operatorname{tris}\left(N, N\right.$-di- $n$-butyldithiocarbamato)molybdenum ${ }^{22}$ and appears to be the preferred configuration for complexes
(20) M. Elder, Inorg. Chem., 8, 2103 (1969).
(21) J. J. Park, D. M. Collins, and J. L. Hoard, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92,3636 (1970).
(22) T. F. Brennan and I. Bernal, Chem. Commun., 138 (1970); Inorg. Chim. Acta, 7, 283 (1973).


Flgure 2. Projection of the $\mathrm{TiS}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}$ coordination group of $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ on the quasi-mirror plane.

The principal distortions from ideal pentagonal bipyramidal geometry in $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ can be seen from Figure 2, which is a projection of the $\mathrm{TiS}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}$ coordination group onto the quasi-mirror plane contain-
(23) R. B. VonDreele, J. J. Stezowski, and R. C. Fay, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 2887 (1971).
ing the atoms $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}_{2}}$; the mean displacement of these atoms from the quasi-mirror plane is $0.004 \AA$. The atoms $\mathrm{Ti}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}, \mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{c} 1}$, and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ are nearly coplanar (displacements $\leq 0.10 \AA$; mean displacement $0.07 \AA$ ), and the dihedral angle between this plane, subsequently referred to as the equatorial plane, and the quasi-mirror plane is $89.3^{\circ}$ ( $90^{\circ}$ for an ideal pentagonal bipyramid). Sulfur atom $S_{a 1}$ is located slightly off the quasi-fivefold axis $\left(5.0^{\circ}\right)$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ is appreciably below the equatorial plane $(0.61 \AA)$, because the $2.9-\AA$ "bite" of the dithiocarbamate ligand does not permit it to span a $90^{\circ}$ bond angle. The more surprising distortion is the positioning of the chlorine atom $10.0^{\circ}$ off the quasi-fivefold axis. From a steric point of view, this distortion is counterproductive since it increases the nonbonded repulsions by making the $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ and $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ contacts $(\sim 3.39 \AA)$ about $0.14 \AA$ less than the van der Waals contact ${ }^{24-27}$ and $0.26 \AA$ less than the averaged value of the $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}$ contacts. Park, et al., ${ }^{21}$ have noted the same sort of distortion in $\mathrm{SnT}_{3} \mathrm{X}(\mathrm{T}=$ tropolonate; $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$ or OH ) and have suggested that the geometry resembles that expected for the corresponding eight-coordinate complex $\mathrm{SnT}_{4}$ with the monodentate ligand taking the place of one bidentate ligand in the mmmm dodecahedral stereoisomer. ${ }^{28}$ It is interesting to apply the description of Park, et al., ${ }^{21}$ to $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ since the corresponding tetrakis(diethyldithiocarbamate), $\mathrm{Ti}_{[ }\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2}\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}$, has been shown to have the mmmm dodecahedral structure. ${ }^{8}$ The arrangement of ligands $b$ and $c$ in $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{A}}=36.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\mathrm{B}}=74.5^{\circ}{ }^{29}\right)$ is closely similar to the arrangement in the trapezoidal planes of $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}\left(\theta_{\mathrm{A}}=35.1^{\circ}, \theta_{\mathrm{B}}=77.5^{\circ}\right)$. The $10.0^{\circ}$ rotation of the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond off the quasi-fivefold axis (Figure 2) puts this bond within $11^{\circ}$ of the axis bisecting the fourth dithiocarbamate ligand in $\operatorname{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}$, and the $15.1^{\circ}$ rotation of $\mathrm{Ti}_{-1} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ out of the equatorial plane takes ligand a nearly halfway to its position in $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{\dot{5}}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}$.

As has been observed in other structures of the M (chelate) ${ }_{3} \mathrm{X}$ type, ${ }^{21,23}$ the bond to the axial ligand, $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 1}(2.477 \AA$ ), is somewhat shorter (by $\sim 0.04 \AA$ ) than the averaged length of the five equatorial bonds. However, the equatorial bond lengths (Table III) vary considerably, $\mathrm{Ti}^{-} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ being $\sim 0.04 \AA$ longer than $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{cl}}$, and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ being longer still by an additional $0.05 \AA$. The variation in equatorial bond lengths may reflect the off-axis positioning of the chlorine atom. As expected, the mean length of the six $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}$ bonds $(2.512 \AA$ ) is shorter than the mean $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distance ( $2.564 \AA$ ) in the eight-coordinate Ti $\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}{ }^{8.30}$
(24) We take the $\mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ van der Waals contacts to be about 3.53 and $3.45 \AA$, respectively, based on a van der Waals radius for sulfur of $1.72-1.73 \AA .{ }^{25}$ The Pauling value $(1.85 \AA)^{26}$ appears to be too large. ${ }^{22}$
(25) D. van der Helm, A. E. Lessor, Jr., and L. L. Merritt, Jr., Acta Crystallogr., 15, 1227 (1962).
(26) L. Pauling, "The Nature of the Chemical Bond," 3rd ed, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, N. Y., 1960, p 260.
(27) R. E. Marsh, Acta Crystallogr., 8, 91 (1955); H. L. Yakel, Jr., and E. W. Hughes, ibid., 7, 291 (1954); J. Donohue, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 72, 2701 (1950).
(28) J. L. Hoard and J. V. Silverton, Inorg. Chem., 2, 235 (1963).
(29) See ref 28 for a definition of the dodecahedral shape parameters.
(30) In $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4}$, the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ bonds are $\sim 0.08 \AA$ shorter than the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}$ bonds. ${ }^{8}$ That the $\mathrm{T}_{1}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 2}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ distances in $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ are $\sim 0.04 \AA$ longer than the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c} 1}$ distances is considered especially significant since, with reference to a dodecahedral

The $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond length $(2.305 \AA)$ is in the range found for $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances in neutral, six-coordinate complexes $(2.20-2.32 \AA)^{31}$ and is appreciably shorter than the $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in the eight-coordinate $o$-phenylenebis(dimethylarsine) complex, $\mathrm{TiCl}_{4}(\text { diarsine })_{2}(2.46 \AA) .{ }^{6}$ The relatively short $\mathrm{Ti}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond in $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ is in keeping with the axial position of the chlorine atom.

A striking feature of this structure is the extreme crowding in the equatorial plane. The bites of the equatorial dithiocarbamate ligands ( $2.84 \AA$ ) are normal, ${ }^{32}$ but the interligand $S \cdots S$ distances ( $2.95-3.10 \AA$ ) are as much as $0.5 \AA$ less than the sum of the van der Waals radii. ${ }^{24}$ Similarly short interligand $S \cdots$... contacts have been reported for the pentagonal girdle of $\mathrm{Mo}(\mathrm{NO})\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(n-\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right)_{2}\right]_{3}{ }^{22}$ and the dodecahedral $a$ edges of $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\right]_{4} .8$ Evidently the "soft"" sulfur donor atoms are easily deformed, or else higher coordination complexes having $\mathrm{MS}_{6} \mathrm{X}$ and $\mathrm{MS}_{8}$ cores would not be stable for a metal atom as small as Ti(IV). Interligand S..S distances of $\sim 3.07 \AA$ in trigonal prismatic tris( 1,2 -dithiolene) complexes have been interpreted in terms of interdonor atom bonding forces; however, this type of interaction is not possible in dithiocarbamate complexes. ${ }^{32}$

Bond distances and angles within the dithiocarbamate ligands (Table IV) are in accord with the values found in other dithiocarbamate structures. ${ }^{32,33}$ The dimensions of ligands $b$ and $c$ are virtually identical, but ligand $a$, which spans the axial and an equatorial position, has a somewhat larger bite (by $\sim 0.06 \AA$ ), a larger $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ angle (by $\sim 4^{\circ}$ ), and correspondingly smaller values for the $\mathrm{S}_{2}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}_{2}-\mathrm{Ti}$ angles. These distortions probably reflect the positioning of sulfur atom $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a} 2}$ somewhat farther from the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ atom ( $2.577 \AA$ ) so as to relieve nonbonded repulsions in the pentagonal girdle. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ (average value, $1.32 \AA$ ) and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{S}$ (average value, $1716 \AA$ ) distances are intermediate between the sum of the Pauling single-bond radii and double-bond radii (C-N, $1.47 \AA$; $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}, 1.27 \AA$; $C-S, 1.81 \AA ; C=S, 1.61 \AA)^{34}$ and are indicative of delocalized $\pi$ bonding in the $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ portion of the ligand. Consistent with this picture, the six atoms of the $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ $\mathrm{NC}_{2}$ dithiocarbamate skeleton show only minor departures from planarity; the average displacement of the 18 atoms of the three ligands from their respective mean planes is $0.029 \AA$ (maximum displacement, 0.065 $\AA$ ). The small deviations from planarity which are observed involve a slight twisting of the ligands about the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond; dihedral angles between the $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{CN}$ and $\mathrm{CNC}_{2}$ mean planes are $3.0,4.7$, and $2.2^{\circ}$ for ligands a, $b$, and $c$, respectively. The $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ atom lies close to the plane of the ligands. However, there is a slight folding of the chelate rings about the edges ( $\mathrm{S} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ ) of the coordination polyhedron. Rings $b$ and $c$ are folded so as to bring the uncoordinated parts of the ligands
coordination polyhedron, $\mathbf{S}_{b 2}$ and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{c} 2}$ are located in the B sites and $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{b} 1}$ and $S_{s 1}$, in the $A$ sites. The same sort of inequality in the equatorial bond lengths of $\mathrm{SnT}_{3} \mathrm{X}$ has been noted by Park, et al. ${ }^{2}$.
(31) R. J. H. Clark, "The Chemistry of Titanium and Vanadium," Elsevier, New York, N. Y., 1968, p 72. See also (a) G. Constant, J. C. Daran, and Y. Jeannin, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. B, 27, 2388 (1971); (b) J. Fayos and D. Mootz, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 380, 196 (1971); (c) N. Serpone, P. H. Bird, D. G. Bickley, and D. W. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 217 (1972).
(32) R. Eisenberg, Progr. Inorg. Chem., 12, 295 (1970).
(33) D. Coucouvanis, Progr. Inorg. Chem., 11, 233 (1970).
(34) Reference 26, pp 224-229.
closer to the chlorine atom, while ring a is folded in the direction of ring c . The dihedral angles between the ligand planes and the appropriate S -Ti-S group are 2.9, 2.3 , and $5.5^{\circ}$ for rings a, b, and c , respectively; the corresponding displacements of the $\mathrm{Ti}(\mathrm{IV})$ atom from the ligand planes are $0.10,0.04$, and $0.19 \AA$, respectively. The departures from planarity are probably due to crystal packing; there are a number of relatively close intermolecular contacts but none is more than $0.2 \AA$ less than the sum of the van der Waals radii. A packing diagram is presented in Figure 3.
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Figure 3. Model in perspective to illustrate the packing of $\mathrm{Ti}\left[\mathrm{S}_{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{CN}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{23} \mathrm{Cl}$ molecules in the crystalline arrangement. One unit cell is outlined. The view is perpendicular to the (100) plane.
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# Electronic Structure of Square-Planar Transition Metal Complexes. I. The $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}^{2-}$ Ions 
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#### Abstract

Molecular orbital calculations using the self-consistent-field $\mathrm{X} \alpha$-scattered wave (SCF-X $\alpha$-SW) method have been carried out for the $\mathrm{PtCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{PdCl}_{4}{ }^{2-}$ ions. Optical transitions have been calculated and found to be in good agreement with the experimental absorption spectra. The calculated d-level ordering for these two complexes is $\mathrm{d}_{x^{2}-y^{2}}>\mathrm{d}_{x y}>\mathrm{d}_{x z}, \mathrm{~d}_{y z}>\mathrm{d}_{z^{2}}$, and the mixing among d orbitals and ligand orbitals is predicted to be considerably stronger than in previous calculations.


The electronic structure of square-planar transition metal complexes remains a topic of continuing interest and controversy, in spite of numerous spectral, ${ }^{2-8}$ magnetic circular dichroism, ${ }^{9,10}$ and photoelectron ${ }^{11-13}$

[^2]studies and many theoretical investigations. ${ }^{14-19}$ The controversy centers mainly on the ordering of the dorbital energy levels in the various complexes, although definitive answers to questions such as the degree of covalency in the metal-ligand bonds and the amount of $\pi$-bonding occurring in such systems have yet to be presented.
In addition to the continuing interest in these complexes as molecular entities with their own characteristic spectral and chemical behavior, a more recent impetus to study the electronic structure of these complexes results from the unusual solid-state properties observed in certain crystalline compounds such as $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Pt}-$
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